IN THE DRAWINGS

Please replace originally filed drawing sheets 24, 25 and 26 with amended drawing sheets 24, 25 ,and 26, in which the legend "Prior Art" has been added to figures 27, 28 and 29A.

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 were pending and under consideration.

In the Office of May 19, 2005, claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as anticipated by the disclosure of *Morehouse et al.* (U.S. Patent No. 5,426,562). An objection was raised against claims 7 and 8 due to a typographical error in claim 7

Applicants have amended claim 7 to correct the typographical error. Therefore, the objection to claims 7 and 8 is moot.

Additionally, independent claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 have been amended to clarify the nature of the respective claimed invention. Dependent claims 4 and 8 have been amended to consistency.

New claims 9-12 have been added to claim more specifically features of the preferred embodiments described in the application.

No new matter has been added.

The rejection of claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), is traversed. Independent claims 1, 3, 5, and 7 have been amended to recite a cushioning material that is used to support first and second housings in spaced apart relation and that the main body accommodates a at least a portion of a corner of the first housing with a space therefore. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 10 and 11 recite one or more shock absorbing projections extending from the main body. Claims 3, 4, 7, 8, and 12 recite deformable openings in the outer surface of the main body for shock absorption

Morehouse et al., in contrast, discloses a cushioning jacket that surrounds the entire first housing like a box. See Figures 21-26. There is no mention of the need to maintain a spaced apart relation between first and second housings, only that a disk drive can be inserted

into a computer housing "cavity." This reference seems only to the drive bays normally associated with computers and is not further explained.

In any event, the present invention is fitted at the corners of a first housing and within a suitable space providing within a second housing.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 7, 8 and 12, *Morehouse et al.* nowhere fairly discloses or suggests using deformable openings within a surface subjected to shock for shock absorbing purposes. The examiner's comments regarding inherent deformation for that purpose are unsubstantiated. If the examiner continues to believe this is true, the examiner is requested to provide evidence supporting this position.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that claims 1-12 are allowable and that the application is in condition for allowance. Note to that effect is requested.

No fee is due with the filing of this Amendment. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in required fee to Deposit Account No. 19-3140.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 19 2005 By: _____

David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
Phone: (312) 876-8000
Fax: (312) 876-7934